



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PLICANT(S)

: Vanden Heuvel, et al.

SERIAL NO.

: 09/555,987

FILED

: September 11, 2000

FOR

: Methods and Compositions for Treating Diabetes

GROUP ART UNIT : 1617

EXAMINER

: Sang Ming Hui

Mail Drop RCE

Commissioner for Patents

Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL

Sir:

This is a request for a continued examination under 37 C.F.R. 1.114 of the above identified application.

Enter the Previously Filed Amendment dated 1.

 $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ A Preliminary Amendment/REsponse is enclosed. 2.

A substitute Specification is enclosed. 3.

A check for the RCE fee of \$385.00 (small entity) is enclosed. 4.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-0838

fully request

October 23, 2003

Coleman Sudol Sapone P.C.

714 Colorado Avenue

Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601

Coleman

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Drop RCE

Commissioner for Patents, Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on

October 27, 2003

D. Coleman (Reg. No. 32,559)

P27-017.rce

October 23, 2003

-1-

10/30/2003 AUGNDAF1 00000058 040838 09555987

10-00-BA



APPLICANT(S) : Vanden Heuvel, et al.

SERIAL NO. : 09/555,987

FILED : September 11, 2000

FOR : Methods and Compositions for Treating Diabetes

GROUP ART UNIT : 1617

EXAMINER : Sang Ming Hui

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Preliminary Amendment in Application

In response to the Examiner's advisory action of July 18, 2003, Applicants present the following arguments to the Examiner's rejection and requests the Examiner to reconsider the rejection of the instant application. The claims in the application, claims 1-22 remain pending in this application and are not amended pursuant to the presentation of this response. The specification has been previously amended to reflect government rights in the invention as a consequence of providing certain support for research into the present invention.

Applicants assert that the Examiner's rejection of the instant claims under 35 U.S.C. §103 is based upon an impermissible *hindsight* reconstruction of the teachings of the prior art, which is at best, completely *ambiguous*. Moreover, a clear reading of the Examiner's rejection in light of the current law, shows that the rejection is improper.

The following claims are pending in the present application:

- 1. (Original) A method of treating diabetes in an animal, said method comprising administering to said animal a therapeutically effective amount of conjugated linoleic acid.
 - 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said conjugated linoleic acid is